UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
08/870,762	06/06/1997	BRADFORD J. DUFT	226/104US	7328
Intellectual Property Department Amylin Pharmaceuticals, Inc. 9360 Towne Centre Drive San Diego, CA 92121			EXAMINER	
			DEVI, SARVAMANGALA J N	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1645	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/02/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	08/870,762	DUFT ET AL.		
Examiner-initiated interview duminary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	S. Devi, Ph.D.	1645		
All Participants:	Status of Application:	<u> </u>		
(1) <u>S. Devi (USPTO)</u> .	(3)			
(2) Attorney Timothy Torchia.	(4)			
Date of Interview: 31 August 2010	Time:			
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicate Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: Applicant's amendment	,			
Part I.				
Rejection(s) discussed:				
Claims discussed:				
Prior art documents discussed:				
Part II.				
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:		
Part III.				
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 				
(A	Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)		

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

Attorney Torchia was informed that Applicant's amendment filed 08/02/10 is non-compliant under 37 CFR 1.121 in that single brackets, as opposed to double brackets, have been used to indicate deletion of certain limitations from the pending claims. To avoid the mailing of a Notice of Non-compliant Amendment, it was requested that Applicants file a supplemental amendment as soon as possible to correct the issue of non-compliance. Attorney Torchia agreed to file a supplemental amendment shortly.

/S. Devi/ Primary Examiner AU 1645

08-31-2010